

- Sunday Aft - November 10, 2013

Welcome to The Common Game

More Players, More Play, Better Bridge!

[?](#) Explanation of report features

Download hands in PDF or PBN format (Right click and choose "Save Link As..." in Firefox or "Save Target As..." in IE)

Jump directly to board 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
27 28 29 30 31 32

Field strength: Mean: 596 MP Geomean:
502 MP
(based on 30 players)

Show faces.

★ ★ ★ MASTERPOINT WINNERS ★ ★ ★

Partnership	Pct	Rank	MP
John Pelletti - Sudhir Shah	61.46	1st OA	2.05
Delilah Perkinson - Penny Hawkins	58.18	2nd OA	1.54
Laura Onsgard - Nancy Fellman	57.49	3rd OA	1.15
Jane Kirby - Kate Hopkins	53.51	4th OA	0.86
Carol Adamek - Constance Vecellio	53.47	5th OA	0.65
Randolph Worsham - Richard Barber	51.63	3rd SA	0.51

EVENT>Db1 Pnt Unit 1500 Prs SESSION>Sunday Aft SECTION> E N-S										
-----,-----,-----										
DATE>November 10, 2013 SANCTION>W311176A 11/10/2013 17:04										
-----,-----,-----										
DIR> Mark Bumgardner RATING>Unit Championship MOVEMENT>MITCHELL										
-----,-----,-----										
AVE> 84.0 TOP> 6 MP LIMITS>1500/750/500 CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D										
-----,-----,-----										
PAIRS IN STRAT A=8/B=5/C=3 ,---,-----,-----,-----										
-----,-----,-----										
----- Overall Section										
Final										
No	Name	Name	F1t	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Score
Pct	Awards									

1	Richard Langland	Nancy Latner	A	79.71
47.45										
2	Alma Farley	Robert Clarke	B	68.37
40.70										
3	Roma Allison	Martin Schwartz	A	77.97
46.41										
4	Penny Hawkins	Delilah Perkinson	C	2	2	2	1	1	1	97.75
58.18	1.54(OA)									
5	E J Nowak	Billie Dalton	B	82.65
49.20										
6	Jean Higgins	William Higgins	C	80.50
47.92										
7	Laura Onsgard	Nancy Fellman	C	3	3	3	2	2	.	96.58
57.49	1.15(OA)									
8	Randolph Worsham	Richard Barber	A	.	.	.	3	.	.	86.74
51.63	0.51(SA)									
Totals									670.27	
EVENT>Db1 Pnt Unit 1500 Prs SESSION>Sunday Aft SECTION> E E-W										
-----,-----,-----										
AVE> 84.0 TOP> 6 MP LIMITS>1500/750/500 CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D										
-----,-----,-----										
PAIRS IN STRAT A=8/B=6/C=4 ,---,-----,-----,-----										
-----,-----,-----										
----- Overall Section										
Final										
No	Name	Name	F1t	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Score
Pct	Awards									

1 ♠Q872 Dir: North
 ♥952 Vul: None
 ♦Q32
 ♣JT7
 ♠KT96 ♠J4
 ♥QT ♥863
 ♦AK87 ♦JT654
 ♣532 ♣Q64

 ♠A53
 ♥AKJ74
 ♦9
 ♣AK98

 5 Double Dummy Makes
 12 4 NS: 5♣ 1♦ 5♥ 3♠ 4NT
 19 EW: ♠2 ♦6 ♥2 ♣3 NT2
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +450 5♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
450	5.92	0.08	4♥ S +1	♦A	E1-Langland-Latner vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
200	3.58	2.42	3♥ S +2	♦A	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
200	3.58	2.42	1♥ S +4	♦A	E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
200	3.58	2.42	1♥ S +4	♦A	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E6-Anderson-Andersc
100	1.25	4.75	3♦ E -2	♥2	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
100	0.08	5.92	4♥ S -2	♦A	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
	Pass	Pass	1♥
Dbl	Pass	2♦	Dbl
Pass	2♥	All pass	

The Bidding:

When South opens the auction 1♥, North, with a flat, quacky, 5 count, is planning to pass. After doubles for takeout, there is no reason for North to change their plans. Some partnerships play tr an opponent's takeout double. With that agreement, a North may raise to 2♥, showing less than a Constructive Raise.

South has an LTC of 5, almost a strong 2♣ opener. If North directly raises ♥s over the takeout d will jump to game. If North passes, South will double East's 2♦ bid for takeout; and North will b has a LTC of 11, 1 short of the worst possible. Even if South invites game, North will decline.

The Play:

Declarer has 2 potential ♠ losers, and a possible loser in the other three suits. Declarer is fortuna is onsite, making the ♠Queen an entry. With the ♠Queen onsite and the ♥Queen doubleton, son may take 11 tricks. Declarers taking the losing ♥ finesse will take 10 tricks.

D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
1	♠ Q872		P	P	1♥
	♥ 952	X	P	2♦	X
	♦ Q32	P	2♥	P	P
	♣ J107	P			
W dloeb		E dloeb			
♠ K1096		♠ J4			
♥ Q10		♥ 863			
♦ AK87		♦ J10654			
♣ 532		♣ Q64			
	S dloeb				
	♠ A53				
	♥ AKJ74				
	♦ 9				
	♣ AK98				
		2♥ S	NS: 0	EW: 0	

Rewind Previous Next Options GIB **BBO**

Comments? Questions?
[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

2 ♠96 Dir: East
 ♥JT83 Vul: N-S
 ♦KJT8
 ♣AK6

♠JT7 ♠AKQ3
 ♥A765 ♥942
 ♦Q95 ♦A63
 ♣985 ♣Q32

♠8542
 ♥KQ
 ♦742
 ♣JT74

Double Dummy Makes

12 NS: -1♠ 2♦ ♣6/7 ♥6 ♠6 NT6
 7 15 EW: -1♥ -1♠ -1NT
 6 ♣5 ♦5 ♥6/7 ♠6/7 NT6/7

LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1
 Par: +90 2♦-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50		5.92	0.08	1N E -1	♠8 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E6-Anderson-Andersc
	90	2.42	3.58	1N E	♠2 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	90	2.42	3.58	1N E	♠4 E1-Langland-Latner vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	90	2.42	3.58	1N E	♠4 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	90	2.42	3.58	1N E	♠4 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	90	2.42	3.58	1N E	♠2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West North East South
 1NT All pass

The Bidding:

East's 1NT opening bid will end the auction at most tables. North has no reason to make a vulne overcall with a balanced hand.

The Lead:

Because East-West did not investigate game, and the opening leader has only 6 HCP, North mus good hand, 14 HCP on average. There is a slight major suit bias on this auction because respond have a 5 card major. Leading from 4 small ♠s or from the ♥King-Queen doubleton does not look Most will choose to lead a ♠.

The Play:

Declarer starts with 6 tricks. There are chances for extra tricks in ♥s, ♦s, and ♠s. Developing an ♥s requires a 3-3 break, a 35.5% chance. An extra trick in ♦s is available when the ♦King is onsi chance, or if an end play can be engineered. Developing a ♣ trick requires both the Ace and Kin onside, a 25% chance.

When planning the play, declarer should look for opportunities to combine chances. There is no the lobbing finesses in ♠s or ♦s. The Queens serve as stoppers. It is best to start on the ♥ suit.

It takes a ♦ lead by West to set 1NT. On a ♣ lead and continuation, the ♣Queen is setup quickly 7th trick.

2 _D	N dloeb	W N E S
	♠ 96 ♥ J1083 ♦ KJ108 ♣ AK6	1NT P P P
W dloeb	E dloeb	
♠ J107 ♥ A765 ♦ Q95 ♣ 985	♠ AKQ3 ♥ 942 ♦ A63 ♣ Q32	
	S dloeb	
	♠ 8542 ♥ KQ ♦ 742 ♣ J1074	1NT E NS: 0 EW: 0
<input type="button" value="Rewind"/> <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> <input type="button" value="Options"/> <input type="button" value="GIB"/>		BBO ♠

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

3 ♠K9 Dir: South
 ♥T654 Vul: E-W
 ♦A96
 ♣9864

♠AJ74 ♠8
 ♥K82 ♥QJ
 ♦KQJ32 ♦T8754
 ♣3 ♣KQJ52

♠QT6532
 ♥A973
 ♦-
 ♣AT7

7 Double Dummy Makes

14 9 NS: 2♥ 2♠ ♣4 ♦3 NT5

10 EW: 2♣ 4♦ 2NT ♥5 ♠5

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: -130 4♦EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		5.92	0.08	5♦* W -1	♠K E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
100		4.75	1.25	4♦ W -1	♠9 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	130	1.83	4.17	4♦ W	♠K E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	130	1.83	4.17	3♦ W +1	♠K E1-Langland-Latner vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	130	1.83	4.17	3♦ W +1	♠K E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E6-Anderson-Andersc
	130	1.83	4.17	3♦ W +1	♠K E8-Worsham-Barber vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
			1♠
2♦	Dbl	2♠	3♥
4♦	All pass		

The Bidding:

South's hand is too good for a weak 2. It passes the for an opener. A 1♠ opening looks best. Wes to have a 6th ♦ for their 2♦ overcall. North will make a , looking for a 4-4 ♥ fit.

East has 5 card ♦ support, a singleton, and a source of tricks in ♣s. Most will choose to cue bid 2 support and invitational or better values. Some may choose to jump to 3♠, a bid, showing game : values, 4+ card support, and ♠ shortness. East has a LTC of 7, not quite enough to force to a min game. The 2♠ cue bid is preferable.

South's hand upgrades after the Negative Double. Competing to 3♥ is clear. Now West has an in choice. With a LTC of 6, West is interested in game. With just one Ace and the opponents active auction, taking 11 tricks in♦s may be difficult. 3NT is a possibility. West has both majors stoppe likely has values in ♣s on this auction. Some will venture 3NT despite the ♣ singleton. Many wi compete to 4♦.

The Play:

Playing in ♦s, declarers will have no trouble taking 10 tricks. The only losers are the defense's 3

It takes a ♠ lead or an immediate ♠ shift to beat 3NT. On a ♥ lead and continuation, declarer has knock out the ♣Ace and ♦Ace and take 9 tricks for a top board.

3 D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
	♠ K9				1♠
	♥ 10654	2♦	X	2♠	3♥
	♦ A96	3NT	P	P	P
	♣ 9864				
W dloeb			E dloeb		
♠ AJ74			♠ 8		
♥ K82			♥ QJ		
♦ KQJ32			♦ 108754		
♣ 3			♣ KQJ52		
	S dloeb				
	♠ Q106532				
	♥ A973				
	♦				
	♣ A107				
					3NT WNS: 0 EW: 0
Rewind Previous Next Options GIB BBO ♠					

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.

[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

4 ♠KT753 Dir: West
 ♥62 Vul: Both
 ♦T54
 ♣A83
 ♠AJ64 ♠98
 ♥AJ9 ♥K743
 ♦KQ32 ♦76
 ♣J7 ♣T6542

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200	5.92	0.08	1N W -2	♠5	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
100	4.17	1.83	1N W -1	♠5	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E6-Anderson-Andersc
100	4.17	1.83	1N W -1	♠5	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	90	1.25	4.75	1N W	♠5 E1-Langland-Latner vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	90	1.25	4.75	1N W	♠5 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	90	1.25	4.75	1N W	♠5 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek

 ♠Q2
 ♥QT85
 ♦AJ98
 ♣KQ9
 7 Double Dummy Makes
 16 3 NS: 1♦ 2/1♠ 2/1NT ♣6 ♥6/5
 14 EW: 1♣ 1♥ ♦5 ♠5 NT5
 LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1
 Par: +120 2NT-N



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West North East South
 INT All pass
 Declarer has just one entry to dummy and does not want to lead away from their holdings in ♠s, That leaves just the ♣ suit for declarer to "attack".
 After a ♠ lead, declarer winning the Ace and exiting a ♣, South will win the ♣Queen and fire a ♠ declarer; North winning the Ten. North knows partner started with either ♠Q2 or ♠Q642. The pl: Queen denied the Jack. The continuation of the 2 rules out a 3 card holding. If South started with is not a rush to cash. South is marked with roughly 14 HCP and you have a ♣ control. On the of breaking ♥s or ♦s does not look attractive.
 Most will continue with a ♠, handing declarer a ♠ trick. When declarer is in with the 4th round o can exit a ♣ again. Now South can exit a low ♦. Declarer needs the ♥ finesse for down 1.

D 4	N dloeb	W N E S
	♠K10753	1NT P P P
	♥62	
	♦1054	
	♣A83	
W dloeb	E dloeb	
♠AJ64	♠98	
♥AJ9	♥K743	
♦KQ32	♦76	
♣J7	♣106542	
	S dloeb	
	♠Q2	
	♥Q1085	
	♦AJ98	
	♣KQ9	1NT WNS: 0 EW: 0

Rewind Previous Next Options GIB **BBO**

 Comments? Questions?
[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

5 ♠A73 Dir: North
 ♥AKQ63 Vul: N-S
 ♦A5
 ♣AJ3
 ♠J642 ♠KT8
 ♥J7 ♥42
 ♦KJ6 ♦Q832
 ♣K872 ♣T964

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
660	5.92	0.08	3N N +2	♦Q	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
650	3.00	3.00	5♥ N	♣T	E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
650	3.00	3.00	4♥ S +1	♦6	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
650	3.00	3.00	4♥ N +1	♥2	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
650	3.00	3.00	4♥ N +1	♣T	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
620	0.08	5.92	4♥ N	♦2	E2-Farley-Clarke vs E2-Chen-Durante

♠Q95
 ♥T985
 ♦T974
 ♣Q5
 22 [Double Dummy Makes](#)
 9 5 NS: 2/1♠ 2♦ 5♥ 3♣ 4NT
 4 EW: ♠5 ♦4 ♥2 ♣4 NT3
 LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: +650 5♥-NS



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
	2♣	Pass	2♦
Pass	2NT	Pass	3♥
Pass	4♥	All pass	

The Bidding:

Playing , North will open their powerful hand with a strong, artificial and forcing 2♣. After part waiting bid, North has a choice between bidding 2♥ or 2NT, both natural.

If North rebids 2♥, South will jump to 4♥, using to deny slam interest. If North rebids 2NT, Sou 3♣, regular Stayman or . All roads lead to 4♥.

The Play:

With the ♠King and ♣King inside, declarers will have no trouble taking 11 tricks.

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

6 ♠J5 Dir: East
 ♥J8754 Vul: E-W
 ♦QJT
 ♣A75
 ♠KQ63 ♠AT82
 ♥Q9 ♥T6
 ♦864 ♦AK753
 ♣J864 ♣T3

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
300	5.92	0.08	4♣ W -3	♦Q	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
140	4.17	1.83	2♥ N +1	♦A	E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
140	4.17	1.83	1♥ N +2	♦A	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
100	1.25	4.75	3♣ W -1	♥4	E2-Farley-Clarke vs E2-Chen-Durante
100	1.25	4.75	3♣ W -1	♦Q	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
100	1.25	4.75	3♥ W -1	♦J	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E6-Anderson-Anderson

♠974
 ♥AK32
 ♦92
 ♣KQ92
 9 [Double Dummy Makes](#)
 8 11 NS: 2♣ 3♥ 1NT ♦5 ♠5
 12 EW: 2♦ 2♣ ♠5 ♥4 NT5
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +140 3♥-NS

7 ♠J5 Dir: South
 ♥9 Vul: Both
 ♦Q873
 ♣J97643
 ♠AQT7 ♠K8643
 ♥AQJ65 ♥T8
 ♦A ♦K96
 ♣AQT ♣K52
 ♠92
 ♥K7432
 ♦JT542
 ♣8

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld	
	720	5.92	0.08	3N E +4	♦4	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
1460	2.42	3.58	6♠ E +1	♦J		E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
1460	2.42	3.58	6♠ E +1	♣J		E8-Worsham-Barber vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
1460	2.42	3.58	6♠ E +1	♠8		E2-Farley-Clarke vs E2-Chen-Durante
1460	2.42	3.58	6♠ E +1	♠8		E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
1460	2.42	3.58	6♠ E +1	♠8		E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston

4 Double Dummy Makes
 23 9 NS: ♠3 ♦4 ♥1 ♠0 NTO
 4 EW: 4♠ 3♦ 6♥ 7♠ 7NT
 LoTT: 17 - 18 = -1
 Par: -2220 7NT-EW



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
			Pass
2♣	Pass	2♦	Pass
2♥	Pass	2♠	Pass
3♠	Pass	4♣	Pass
4NT	Pass	5♣	Pass
5NT	Pass	6♦	Pass
6NT	All pass		

The Bidding:

Playing , West will open their powerful hand with a strong artificial 2♣ bid. Continuations after vary by partnership agreement. The auction above assumes a typical structure using 2♦ as a wait the cheaper minor as a second negative. After East's 2♦ waiting bid, West shows their ♥ suit. Ea natural and game forcing. East would bid 3♣, an artificial negative, if East did not want to establ Force.

West's raise to 3♠ sets the trump suit. 4♣ is a cue bid. Many expert partnerships routinely cue bid round controls. Opposite a 2♣ opener, even partnerships who prefer to cue bid Aces, should not cue bid their ♣King.

Now West can bid 4NT . After East shows 1 key card, West's 5NT bid guarantees possession of cards and the trump Queen, and asks about Kings. Does 5NT in your partnership ask the number ask about ? This board illustrates why many prefer playing Specific Kings. You want to be in a partner has the ♥King.

If responding with the number of Kings, East will show 2. West already knows about the ♣King cue bid, but does not know whether East's second King is in ♥s or ♦s. Playing Specific Kings, E respond 6♦, having already shown the ♣King. East now bids 6NT, knowing partner will bid 7N♥King.

The Play:

With the ♥King onside, declarer has all the tricks. 5 ♠s + 3 ♣s + 2 ♦s + 3 ♥s. 6NT making 7.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

In his Bridge Winners article, Gavin Wolpert describes the advantages of playing Specific King:

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

8 ♠AK96 Dir: West
 ♥8 Vul: None
 ♦T643
 ♣AQT6
 ♠QJ3 ♠752
 ♥AKT9 ♥QJ74
 ♦J8 ♦AQ52
 ♣J853 ♣97

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140		5.92	0.08	2♠ N +1	♥4 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E2-Chen-Durante
100		4.75	1.25	3♥ E -2	♥2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	110	1.83	4.17	2♥ W	♣A E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	110	1.83	4.17	2♥ W	♣K E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	110	1.83	4.17	2♥ E	♣8 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	110	1.83	4.17	2♥ E	♣4 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston

 ♠T84
 ♥6532
 ♦K97
 ♣K42
 13 Double Dummy Makes
 12 9 NS: 2♣ 3♦ 2♠ 2NT ♥6
 6 EW: 1♥ ♣4/5 ♦4 ♠4 NT5
 LoTT: 16 - 15 = +1
 Par: +120 2NT-NS

9 ♠86 Dir: North
 ♥83 Vul: E-W
 ♦AQJ7
 ♣KQ642
 ♠AKJT3 ♠Q975
 ♥T6 ♥K52
 ♦942 ♦T653
 ♣AT3 ♣97

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		3.58	2.42	4♥ S	♣A E1-Langland-Latner vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
420		3.58	2.42	4♥ S	♣A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
420		3.58	2.42	4♥ S	♣A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
420		3.58	2.42	4♥ S	♣A E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E2-Chen-Durante
420		3.58	2.42	4♥ S	♣A E8-Worsham-Barber vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
170		0.08	5.92	3♥ S +1	♣A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek

 ♠42
 ♥AQJ974
 ♦K8
 ♣J85
 12 Double Dummy Makes
 12 5 NS: 4♣ 3♦ 4♥ 1NT ♠6
 11 EW: 1♠ ♣2 ♦3 ♥2 NT4/3
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +420 4♥-NS

10 ♠J7 Dir: East
 ♥985 Vul: Both
 ♦QJT9
 ♣KJ32
 ♠- ♠K9853
 ♥KQJ732 ♥T64
 ♦65 ♦AK84
 ♣AT854 ♣6

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		5.92	0.08	4♥* E -1	♦7 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E2-Chen-Durante
100		4.75	1.25	4♥ E -1	♥A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	170	3.58	2.42	3♥ W +1	♥J E1-Langland-Latner vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	620	1.25	4.75	4♥ W	♣J E8-Worsham-Barber vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	620	1.25	4.75	4♥ W	♣7 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	620	1.25	4.75	4♥ W	♥5 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek

 ♠AQT642
 ♥A
 ♦732
 ♣Q97
 8 Double Dummy Makes
 10 10 NS: 2♠ ♣5 ♦6 ♥2 NT5
 12 12 EW: 1/-♣ 1♦ 5♥ 1NT ♠7/6
 ♠5
 LoTT: 19 - 17 = +2
 Par: -650 5♥-EW

11 ♠65 Dlr: South
 ♥976542 Vul: None
 ♦95
 ♣J92

♠84 ♠AQJ72
 ♥JT ♥A83
 ♦J7642 ♦T3
 ♣K863 ♣QT7

♠KT93
 ♥KQ
 ♦AKQ8
 ♣A54

1 Double Dummy Makes
 5 13 NS: 2♥ ♠6 ♦6 ♠6 NT6
 21 EW: 1/-♣ 1♦ 1/-♠ 1/-NT
 ♣7/6 ♥4 ♠7/6 NT7/6

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
 Par: +100
 2NT*-W/2♠*-W/2♦*-EW/2♣*-
 W-1

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
170		5.92	0.08	3♥ S +1	♦7 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
140		4.17	1.83	3♥ S	♥J E2-Farley-Clarke vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
140		4.17	1.83	3♥ S	♦2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	50	1.83	4.17	3N S -1	♠8 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	50	1.83	4.17	3♥ S -1	♦4 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E2-Chen-Durante
100		0.08	5.92	3♥ S -2	♠8 E1-Langland-Latner vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith

12 ♠J73 Dlr: West
 ♥AKT7 Vul: N-S
 ♦J63
 ♣T98

♠KT5 ♠Q864
 ♥954 ♥J862
 ♦T9 ♦AK8
 ♣AKQ63 ♣75

♠A92
 ♥Q3
 ♦Q7542
 ♣J42

9 Double Dummy Makes
 12 10 NS: 1♦ ♠4 ♥4 ♠5 NT5
 9 EW: 2♣ 3/2♥ 2♠ 2NT ♦6

LoTT: 16 - 15 = +1
 Par: -140 3♥-W

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		5.92	0.08	3N E -2	♦5 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	90	4.17	1.83	2♣ W	♥A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	90	4.17	1.83	2♣ W	♠T E1-Langland-Latner vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
120		1.83	4.17	1N W +1	♥A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
120		1.83	4.17	1N W +1	♥2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
140		0.08	5.92	3♥ E	♦4 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E2-Chen-Durante

13 ♠J974 Dlr: North
 ♥AQJ98 Vul: Both
 ♦K96
 ♣3

♠Q5 ♠A83
 ♥K32 ♥T7654
 ♦3 ♦T5
 ♣AKQ9872 ♣T64

♠KT62
 ♥-
 ♦AQJ8742
 ♣J5

11 Double Dummy Makes
 14 4 NS: 5/4♦ 1♥ 4♠ ♠4 NT5
 11 EW: 3/2♣ ♦2 ♥6 ♠3 NT5

LoTT: 20 - 20 = 0
 Par: +600 5♦-N

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
620		4.75	1.25	4♠ S	♠A E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
620		4.75	1.25	4♠ N	♠3 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
620		4.75	1.25	4♠ N	♠4 E1-Langland-Latner vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
150		2.42	3.58	3♦ S +2	♠A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E2-Chen-Durante
	100	0.67	5.33	4♠ N -1	♠6 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	100	0.67	5.33	5♦ S -1	♠A E8-Worsham-Barber vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
	1♥	Pass	1♠
2♣	2♠	Pass	4♠

All pass

The Bidding:

North's hand passes the . Opening 1♥ can lead to an awkward rebid. If partner responds 1NT (For Semi-Forcing), North does not have the values to reverse into ♠s. With a singleton ♣, North does pass a Semi-Forcing 1NT. A 2♥ rebid would show a 6th ♥. So North is forced to bid their 3 card Partnerships playing along with a 14-16 HCP range for their 1NT opening bid avoid this problem rebids over a Semi-Forcing 1NT show a true suit.

On this board, South does not quite have the values for a . Lacking the strength to show their long South responds 1♠ showing 4+ ♠. West overcalls 2♣. For partnerships playing , North's raise to : ♠s.

The hand diagram reports that South has 17 Support Points. That attributes too much value to the partner's suit. A ♣ void, on the other hand, would be quite valuable. South will jump to game at . Some may look for a slam. That is not advisable given the likelihood partner, who has shown a 1 opening hand, likely has wasted values in ♥s. West should not consider sacrificing given the poor both majors.

For pairs playing the auction is likely to go 2♦-Pass-4♠-All Pass. It will not be easy for West to with their fine hand, but a look at their major suit holdings and the vulnerability should help West green card.

The Play:

West will likely lead a high ♣ or their singleton ♦. If a singleton ♦ lead is chosen and declarer takes finesse against the ♠ Queen, West will be eager to put partner on lead to get a ♦ ruff. Does East have ♣Jack. Under leading the Ace-King-Queen to obtain the ruff would make for a great story. On the under lead would hand declarer an 11th trick.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

Steve Weinstein describes why Flannery is one of his favorite convention in his Bridge Winners In Andrew Gumperz shares tips for pairs playing Support Doubles.

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the [BridgeWinners.com](#) board discussion. [CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

14 ♠KQJ9 Dir: East
 ♥A4 Vul: None
 ♦AJ84
 ♣A97
 ♠8654 ♠T
 ♥J3 ♥KQT765
 ♦KQ75 ♦63
 ♣KQ8 ♣T642
 ♠A732
 ♥982
 ♦T92
 ♣J53

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld	
420		4.75	1.25	4♠ S	♥J	E1-Langland-Latner vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
420		4.75	1.25	4♠ S	♥J	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
420		4.75	1.25	4♠ S	♥J	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
150		1.83	4.17	2N N +1	♥K	E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E2-Chen-Durante
150		1.83	4.17	2N N +1	♥K	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	100	0.08	5.92	4♠ S -2	♥J	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

19 [Double Dummy Makes](#)
 11 5 NS: 1♣ 3♦ 4♠ 3NT ♥5
 5 EW: 2♥ ♣6 ♦4 ♠3 NT4
 LoTT: 18 - 16 = +2
 Par: +420 4♠NS

15 ♠AQ87 Dlr: South
 ♥JT95 Vul: N-S
 ♦52
 ♣AQJ
 ♠KT94 ♠532
 ♥AQ87 ♥K6
 ♦A7 ♦KQJ3
 ♣K74 ♣T652

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld	
100	5.33	0.67	3N W -2	♥J	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston	
100	5.33	0.67	3N W -2	♥J	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek	
50	3.58	2.42	3N W -1	♥J	E1-Langland-Latner vs E6-Anderson-Anderson	
	150	2.42	3.58	2N W +1	♥J	E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E2-Chen-Durante
	400	1.25	4.75	3N W	♥J	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	430	0.08	5.92	3N W +1	♣7	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠J6
 ♥432
 ♦T9864
 ♣983

Double Dummy Makes
 14 NS: ♠5 ♦5 ♥5 ♠5 NT4
 16 9 EW: 1/2♣ -/2♦ 1/2♥ 2♠ 2/3NT
 1 ♦6/8
 LoTT: 13 - 14 = -1
 Par: -400 3NT-E

16 ♠9752 Dlr: West
 ♥A Vul: E-W
 ♦K985
 ♣AKQ9
 ♠843 ♠JT6
 ♥K32 ♥QJT975
 ♦AT3 ♦6
 ♣8653 ♣JT2

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld	
490	5.92	0.08	3N S +3	♣8	E8-Worsham-Barber vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek	
420	3.58	2.42	5♦ N +1	♥Q	E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E2-Chen-Durante	
420	3.58	2.42	5♦ N +1	♥Q	E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith	
420	3.58	2.42	5♦ N +1	♣J	E1-Langland-Latner vs E6-Anderson-Anderson	
170	1.25	4.75	4♦ N +2	♥Q	E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston	
	50	0.08	5.92	3N N -1	♥Q	E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠AKQ
 ♥864
 ♦QJ742
 ♣74

Double Dummy Makes
 16 NS: 4♣ 6♦ 1♥ 5♠ 3NT
 12 EW: ♠1 ♦1 ♥6 ♠1 NT1
 LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0
 Par: +920 6♦NS



David Loeb Hand Analysis

West	North	East	South
Pass	1♦	Pass	2♦
Pass	3♣	Pass	3♠
Pass	4♥	Pass	4♠
Pass	5♣	Pass	5♦
Pass	6♦	All pass	

With 4-1-4-4 distribution, the standard opening is 1♦. Because of the powerful ♣ suit, some will open 1♣. On this board, opening 1♣ allows North to easily show their 3 suiter. South's hand upg substantially after a ♦ fit and ♥ shortness are confirmed.

If North opens 1♦, South has a good hand for an inverted minor raise to 2♦, showing ♦ support a invitational or better values. Most experts do not with singleton Aces. Structures vary over inver raises. Some bid stoppers. Many experts use a relay to describe opener's hand. A natural approach effective and easy to remember. A bid of 2 of a major or 3 of the other minor shows a concentra values and is forcing to 3NT. Using a natural approach, a 3♣ rebid well describes North's hand.

With 3 ♠ stoppers, South wants any notrump contract to be played from North's side to protect a positional stopper. So South rebids 3♣. With a singleton ♥, North has no interest in a notrump cc North can cue bid their ♥Ace, and South can cooperate with a ♠ cue bid; and the slam can be rea

Comments? Questions?

[CLICK HERE](#) to submit a question or join the BridgeWinners.com board discussion.
[CLICK HERE](#) or email me directly at davidjloeb@gmail.com

17 ♠AJ432 Dlr: North
 ♥964 Vul: None
 ♦A64
 ♣Q3
 ♠Q985 ♠KT7
 ♥J3 ♥Q
 ♦KT873 ♦QJ52
 ♣A7 ♣T9864

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♠5 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E2-Chen-Durante
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♦8 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♦7 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♠A E1-Langland-Latner vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♠A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
480		3.00	3.00	4♥ S +2	♠A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston

♠6
 ♥AKT8752
 ♦9
 ♣KJ52

11 [Double Dummy Makes](#)
 10 8 NS: 1♣ 6♥ 1♠ 3NT ♦4
 11 EW: 2♦ ♠6 ♥1 ♠5/6 NT1
 LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
 Par: +980 6♥-NS

18 ♠KQ9 Dlr: East
 ♥AK6 Vul: N-S
 ♦AJ94
 ♣KT2
 ♠J32 ♠5
 ♥752 ♥JT98
 ♦K7632 ♦Q5
 ♣A9 ♣QJ7543

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
660		5.92	0.08	3N N +2	♠J E8-Worsham-Barber vs E2-Chen-Durante
650		2.42	3.58	4♠ S +1	♦3 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
650		2.42	3.58	4♠ S +1	♠A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
650		2.42	3.58	4♠ N +1	♥J E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
650		2.42	3.58	4♠ N +1	♠Q E1-Langland-Latner vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
650		2.42	3.58	4♠ N +1	♠Q E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek

♠AT8764
 ♥Q43
 ♦T8
 ♣86

20 [Double Dummy Makes](#)
 8 6 NS: 3♦ 2♥ 5♠ 5NT ♠6
 6 EW: ♠6 ♦3 ♥4 ♠2 NT2
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +660 5NT-NS

19 ♠T86 Dlr: South
 ♥J95 Vul: E-W
 ♦A7532
 ♣K8
 ♠KJ2 ♠Q5
 ♥K7 ♥T8642
 ♦J84 ♦KQT6
 ♣Q7654 ♣AT

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
110		4.17	1.83	2♣ S	♣7 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
110		4.17	1.83	2♣ S	♣5 E1-Langland-Latner vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
110		4.17	1.83	2♣ S	♣5 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
110		4.17	1.83	2♣ S	♣5 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	50	0.67	5.33	2♣ S -1	♣5 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	50	0.67	5.33	2♣ S -1	♣5 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E2-Chen-Durante

♠A9743
 ♥AQ3
 ♦9
 ♣J932
 8 Double Dummy Makes
 10 11 NS: 1♠ ♣5 ♦5 ♥5 NT5
 11 EW: 1♣ 2♦ 1♥ 1NT ♠5
 LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
 Par: -90 1NT-EW

20 ♠AT8543 Dlr: West
 ♥K5 Vul: Both
 ♦AT2
 ♣K2
 ♠6 ♠J2
 ♥QJT9732 ♥A6
 ♦5 ♦KQJ764
 ♣7643 ♣AT5

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
650		5.92	0.08	4♠ N +1	♥A E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
140		4.17	1.83	3♠ N	♥A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
140		4.17	1.83	2♠ N +1	♦K E8-Worsham-Barber vs E2-Chen-Durante
	100	1.83	4.17	4♠ N -1	♥A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	100	1.83	4.17	4♠ N -1	♦K E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	620	0.08	5.92	4♥ W	♣A E1-Langland-Latner vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠KQ97
 ♥84
 ♦983
 ♣QJ98
 14 Double Dummy Makes
 3 15 NS: 2/3♠ 1NT ♣6 ♦5/6 ♥2/3
 8 EW: 1♦ 2♥ ♣3 ♠3 NT3
 LoTT: 17 - 19 = -2
 Par: +140 3♠S

21 ♠2 Dlr: North
 ♥7 Vul: N-S
 ♦KJT987
 ♣T9842
 ♠7643 ♠KQJT5
 ♥K942 ♥QT8653
 ♦Q2 ♦-
 ♣AK3 ♣J7

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
750		5.33	0.67	5♦* N	♣K E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E2-Chen-Durante
750		5.33	0.67	5♦* N	♣K E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
600		3.58	2.42	5♦ N	♣K E1-Langland-Latner vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
100		2.42	3.58	5♥* E -1	♣A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	420	1.25	4.75	4♥ W	♣2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	650	0.08	5.92	5♥* E	♣A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠A98
 ♥AJ
 ♦A6543
 ♣Q65
 4 Double Dummy Makes
 12 9 NS: 4♣ 5♦ 2NT ♥2 ♠2
 15 EW: 4♥ 4♣ ♠3 ♦2 NT5
 LoTT: 21 - 21 = 0
 Par: +100 5♣*-EW/5♥*-EW-1

22 ♠QJ6532 Dlr: East
 ♥Q6 Vul: E-W
 ♦AKT
 ♣Q5
 ♠K94 ♠8
 ♥2 ♥AKJT743
 ♦876542 ♦3
 ♣K63 ♣J842

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		5.92	0.08	4♣ N	♥A E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E2-Chen-Durante
140		4.17	1.83	3♣ N	♥A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
140		4.17	1.83	3♣ N	♥A E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	50	1.83	4.17	4♣ N -1	♥A E1-Langland-Latner vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	50	1.83	4.17	4♣ N -1	♥K E8-Worsham-Barber vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	140	0.08	5.92	3♥ E	♦Q E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠AT7
 ♥985
 ♦QJ9
 ♣AT97
 14 Double Dummy Makes
 6 9 NS: 1♦ 3♣ ♠6 ♥4 NT5
 11 EW: 1♠ 2♥ 2NT ♦6 ♣4
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +140 3♣-NS

23 ♠J7 Dlr: South
 ♥Q7 Vul: Both
 ♦AKQJ85
 ♣A76
 ♠KQ ♠A85432
 ♥AT9532 ♥J8
 ♦T4 ♦97
 ♣K42 ♣JT5

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		5.33	0.67	3♥ W -2	♦A E1-Langland-Latner vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
200		5.33	0.67	3♥ W -2	♦A E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
130		3.58	2.42	3♦ N +1	♥J E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E2-Chen-Durante
110		2.42	3.58	3♦ N	♥J E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	110	1.25	4.75	2♠ W	♦2 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	300	0.08	5.92	5♦ N -3	♥J E2-Farley-Clarke vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins

♠T96
 ♥K64
 ♦632
 ♣Q983
 17 Double Dummy Makes
 12 6 NS: 1♠ 3♦ 2NT ♥5 ♠5
 5 EW: 2♥ 2♠ ♠5 ♦4 NT4
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +120 2NT-NS

24 ♠T82 Dlr: West
 ♥64 Vul: None
 ♦KJT864
 ♣92
 ♠A97 ♠J643
 ♥KT952 ♥87
 ♦AQ7 ♦93
 ♠AQ ♠T8753

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
400		5.92	0.08	3N S	♥3 E8-Worsham-Barber vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
90		3.58	2.42	1N S	♣7 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E2-Chen-Durante
90		3.58	2.42	1N S	♥5 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
90		3.58	2.42	1N S	♥5 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	100	0.67	5.33	3N S -2	♥T E1-Langland-Latner vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	100	0.67	5.33	3N S -2	♥5 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins

♠KQ5
 ♥AQJ3
 ♦52
 ♣KJ64
 4 Double Dummy Makes
 19 1 NS: 3/2♦ 1NT ♠6/5 ♥6 ♠6
 16 EW: 1♠ 1♥ 1♠ ♦4 NT6
 LoTT: 16 - 15 = +1
 Par: +110 3♦-N

25 ♠K97 Dlr: North
 ♥QJ95 Vul: E-W
 ♦KQ643
 ♣2
 ♠JT ♠542
 ♥K82 ♥7643
 ♦AT987 ♦52
 ♣AKJ ♣QT74
 ♠AQ863
 ♥AT
 ♦J
 ♣98653

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		6.00	0.00	4♠ S	♠A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
400		5.00	1.00	2♥ E -4	♦J E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
170		4.00	2.00	3♠ S +1	♠A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
90		3.00	3.00	2♦ N	♠4 E1-Langland-Latner vs E2-Chen-Durante
	50	1.00	5.00	4♠ S -1	♠J E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	50	1.00	5.00	4♠ S -1	♠A E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	50	1.00	5.00	3♠ S -1	♠A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E6-Anderson-Andersor

11 Double Dummy Makes
 16 2 NS: 1♣ 2♦ 1♥ 4♠ INT
 11 EW: ♠6 ♦5 ♥5 ♣3 NT5
 LoTT: 16 - 15 = +1
 Par: +420 4♠NS

26 ♠QJ9852 Dlr: East
 ♥T5 Vul: Both
 ♦874
 ♣74
 ♠4 ♠KT7
 ♥AQJ974 ♥8
 ♦KJ952 ♦QT6
 ♣A ♣KQJ986
 ♠A63
 ♥K632
 ♦A3
 ♣T532

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		5.00	1.00	6N* E -1	♠A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E6-Anderson-Andersor
200		5.00	1.00	3N E -2	♠3 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
200		5.00	1.00	4♥ W -2	♠Q E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	130	3.00	3.00	4♦ W	♠Q E2-Farley-Clarke vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	170	2.00	4.00	4♦ W +2	♠7 E1-Langland-Latner vs E2-Chen-Durante
	620	1.00	5.00	4♥ W	♠Q E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	660	0.00	6.00	3N E +2	♥2 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek

3 Double Dummy Makes
 15 11 NS: 1♠ ♣2 ♦2 ♥3 NT2
 11 EW: 5/4♠ 5♦ 4♥ 4NT ♠6
 LoTT: 18 - 17 = +1
 Par: -630 4NT-EW

27 ♠Q93 Dlr: South
 ♥94 Vul: None
 ♦J97
 ♣KQ983
 ♠AT85 ♠62
 ♥QT3 ♥J7
 ♦K832 ♦AT54
 ♣64 ♣AJT75
 ♠KJ74
 ♥AK8652
 ♦Q6
 ♣2

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140		6.00	0.00	2♥ S +1	♠6 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E6-Anderson-Andersor
110		4.00	2.00	2♥ S	♦2 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
110		4.00	2.00	2♥ S	♠6 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
110		4.00	2.00	2♥ S	♠6 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	50	1.50	4.50	4♠ S -1	♠6 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	50	1.50	4.50	4♥ S -1	♦2 E1-Langland-Latner vs E2-Chen-Durante
	100	0.00	6.00	4♥ S -2	♦2 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

8 Double Dummy Makes
 9 10 NS: 2♥ 1♠ ♠6 ♦5 NT6
 13 EW: 1♣ 2♦ ♥5 ♠5 NT6
 LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
 Par: +100 3♦*-EW-1

28 ♠AK86 Dlr: West
♥83 Vul: N-S
♦Q3
♣KT973

♠54 ♠JT92
♥AJT764 ♥-
♦T872 ♦AK54
♣J ♣AQ652

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		5.92	0.08	3♣ E -2	♠3 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
50		4.17	1.83	2♥ W -1	♠A E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
50		4.17	1.83	2♥ W -1	♠A E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	130	2.42	3.58	2♦ E +2	♠8 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E1-Hodge-Edmiston
	470	1.25	4.75	2♥* W	♠A E1-Langland-Latner vs E2-Chen-Durante
	570	0.08	5.92	2♥* W +1	♠A E2-Farley-Clarke vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠Q73
♥KQ952
♦J96
♣84

12 Double Dummy Makes
6 14 NS: 1♣ 1NT ♦3/4 ♥4/5 ♠6
8 EW: 2♦ 2♥ 1/-♠ ♣6 ♠7/6 NT6
LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
Par: -110 2♥-EW

29 ♠AT Dlr: North
♥QT954 Vul: Both
♦AQT
♣Q54

♠J52 ♠Q4
♥A72 ♥KJ6
♦K6543 ♦82
♣76 ♣KJ9832

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
630		5.92	0.08	3N N +1	♠3 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmi
620		4.75	1.25	4♣ S	♠7 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
180		3.58	2.42	2N N +2	♠8 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
140		1.83	4.17	3♣ N	♠8 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
140		1.83	4.17	2♠ S +1	♠7 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	300	0.08	5.92	3N N -3	♠8 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E2-Chen-Durante

♠K98763
♥83
♦J97
♣AT

14 Double Dummy Makes
8 10 NS: 1♦ 2/3♥ 3♠ 1NT ♣6
8 EW: 1♣ ♦6 ♥4 ♠4 NT4
LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
Par: +140 3♠-NS/3♥-S

30 ♠T3 Dlr: East
♥AJ95 Vul: None
♦T4
♣A8654

♠QJ94 ♠A8
♥K84 ♥QT732
♦Q873 ♦J92
♣T2 ♣KJ7

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
120		5.92	0.08	2N N	♥3 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
110		4.75	1.25	2♠ S	♠T E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmi
100		3.58	2.42	3♥* E -1	♠T E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
50		2.42	3.58	2♥ E -1	♠A E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E2-Chen-Durante
	50	1.25	4.75	3N N -1	♦2 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
	100	0.08	5.92	2N N -2	♥3 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins

♠K7652
♥6
♦AK65
♣Q93

9 Double Dummy Makes
8 11 NS: 3♣ 1♠ ♦6 ♥6 NT6
12 EW: 1/-♦
♣4 ♦7/6 ♥6 ♠5 NT6/5
LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1
Par: +110 3♣-NS

31 ♠J3 Dlr: South
 ♥842 Vul: N-S
 ♦Q75
 ♣T7652
 ♠T842 ♠A95
 ♥KQT653 ♥A9
 ♦8 ♦KT963
 ♣Q4 ♣AJ8

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		5.92	0.08	3N* E -1	♣6 E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E6-Anderson-Anderson
	170	4.75	1.25	2♥ E +2	♥J E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E2-Chen-Durante
	420	3.00	3.00	4♥ W	♦Q E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	420	3.00	3.00	4♥ W	♦5 E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmi
	430	0.67	5.33	3N E +1	♥J E2-Farley-Clarke vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	430	0.67	5.33	3N E +1	♦2 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Pelletti-Shah

♠KQ76
 ♥J7
 ♦AJ42
 ♣K93
 3 Double Dummy Makes
 7 16 NS: ♠6 ♦5/6 ♥3 ♠4 NT4
 14 EW: 1♦ 4♥ 3/2♠ 3NT ♣6
 LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
 Par: -420 4♥EW

32 ♠KQT Dlr: West
 ♥JT865 Vul: E-W
 ♦4
 ♣JT74
 ♠A852 ♠73
 ♥Q72 ♥K4
 ♦K96 ♦AT752
 ♣KQ5 ♣9863

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140		5.92	0.08	3♥ N	♠9 E6-Higgins-Higgins vs E4-Pelletti-Shah
110		4.75	1.25	2♥ N	♠3 E5-Nowak-Dalton vs E2-Chen-Durante
	50	3.00	3.00	3♥ N -1	♠3 E2-Farley-Clarke vs E3-Vecellio-Adamek
	50	3.00	3.00	2♥ N -1	♠6 E3-Allison-Schwartz vs E5-Kirby-Hopkins
	100	1.25	4.75	2♠ S -2	♠A E4-Hawkins-Perkinson vs E7-Luzzatto-Goldsmi
	110	0.08	5.92	2♦ E +1	♠A E7-Onsgard-Fellman vs E6-Anderson-Anderson

♠J964
 ♥A93
 ♦QJ83
 ♣A2
 7 Double Dummy Makes
 14 7 NS: 1♥ 1/-NT
 12 EW: ♠6 ♦5 ♠6 NT7/6
 12 EW: 1♠ 2♦ ♥5 ♠6 NT6
 LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1
 Par: -90 2♦EW